



## Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08.	APPLICATION NO. 7941,605 0	FILING DATE 9/30/97 WG	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	E I I	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
	 CHAEL I WOLF WAN LIEBOWIT		IM71/0419 P.C.		NOLAN, S	MINER
11: NE	33 AVENUE OF W YORK NY 10	THE AMERICA 036-6799	<b>18</b>		1772 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				, .··	04/1 <b>Date Mailed:</b>	19/00 1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





## **Advisory Action**

Application No. <del>6</del>8/941,605

Sandra Nolan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Wood

1772



ГΗ	E PER	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 💢	expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of hing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be addrounded from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
<b>X</b>	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Dec 14, 1999</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
۹p bu	plican t is NC	I's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec. 14, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
<b>X</b> ]	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
	🗶 wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	I not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	☐ A	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	
	_	
لــا		r proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a gate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	•	•
X		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
	The a	mendments to claim 9 in the response of 12-14-99 (Paper No. 16) do not render the reference inapplicable. The 35
		103 rejection of claim 9 (the sole claim on appeal) over Long et al (US 5.108.533) is maintained as proper.
]		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	s allowed: None
		s objected to: None
	Claim	s rejected: 9
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
X	Other	Upon appeal, the 35 USC 112 rejections of claim 12 will be rendered moot by its cancellation in Paper No.16.
		Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700